<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Great!/Yay!/OMG!</th>
<th>Good/fine/yes, they got it</th>
<th>No, they didn't get it</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relevance aka Peer-Reviewed Articles (Searching as Strategic Exploration)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthesis (Research as Inquiry)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation Style (Information Has Value)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ielleen Miller (she/her), Humanities Librarian, Eastern Washington University
imiller@ewu.edu
My Situation & Background

• Teaching one-shots, primarily English Composition and my humanities liaison areas at a regional comprehensive
• Taught first-year experience (FYE) credit-class
• Served on three iterations of the campus assessment committee – highly recommend you or one of your colleagues get involved!
• Attended various presentations and workshops on assessment, both library and campus, for 17ish years
Definitions

• **Assessment** – “systematic collection of information about student learning, using the time, knowledge, expertise, and resources, in order to inform decisions that affect student learning” (Walvoord, 2010, p. 2)
  • Did they learn X?
  • Composed of goals, information, action

• **Authentic assessment** – assessing authentic assignments, ones that asks students to apply and do what is being taught
  • It’s definitely not student satisfaction, debatable if it’s minor assignments & quizzes
Think/Pair/Share to Google Doc – 3 minutes

https://bit.ly/3kOXyfw – 3kOXyfw

What’s one assessment of student learning you’ve been a part of that worked?
Was it sustainable?

What’s one assessment that didn’t work?
What was the pitfall?
Think/Pair/Share Responses

What's one assessment of student learning you’ve been a part of that worked? Was it sustainable?

- Google Form with a children’s lit class - yes, somewhat sustainable
- Reflection, "I used to think, now I think", yes, for small groups
- One student assessment my library uses is combining an activity with a rubric. So, once students have completed the activity, we can use the rubric to see what students learned through applied learning. If we get a lot of students engaging with the activity, it means a lot of assessment. Takes longer to go through each activity with the rubric, but we get a bunch of positive assessment from it to improve student learning.
- Discussion board posts in embedded classes, especially reflection posts after the research process
- Minute papers
- Formative assessment: Google Forms survey at the top of class
- A formative assessment during a session using Padlet to see what students were doing/finding/struggling with as we went along. It also helped me slow down when needed.
- Asking students at the end of a one-shot to record in a form what their “ah-Ha” moment was
- Assessed student writing (senior theses) as part of a college committee for WASC re-accreditation. Successful, but totally unsustainable.
- Looking at bibliographies of student papers

What’s one assessment that didn’t work? What was the pitfall(s)?

- Pre- and post-test assessment for our English 1301/1302 courses. While the tests are well documented with a lot of participation, there was inconsistencies in how the librarian reported the data.
- I realized we didn’t have a question on a tutorial that asked students what they learned/how they would apply the idea to their own work.
- Pre & post test assessments. Faculty members didn’t make it required, which means many students would not complete them.
- Same for us: no incentive for students usually leads to very poor participation
- If we get into the habit of collecting the data but not using it to make changes, that goes badly—we know we need to collect data but maybe we don’t always know what to do with it to make good changes
Types of Assessments I’ve Tried (Not Necessarily “Authentic”)… Minute Paper/Essay

• “Top 3 things I learned from this session are…”
  • Interesting to see what resonated the most, though some struggle to come up with 3
  • Mainly pragmatic (how to find articles), but occasionally reinforces that you definitely taught them something new to them (Newsguard)

• “I still have questions about…”
  • My attempt at the “muddiest point” but very rarely get a response

• “Additional comments”
  • Usually where I get the “thank you’s”
Types of Assessments I’ve Tried… Post-test Quiz

• Library attempted to collect data for the campus via 3 standardized, multiple-choice questions for each composition class
• Collected all the answers from all the librarians teaching composition one-shots
• Very difficult to write meaningful multiple-choice questions
• But most students did try their best

Which of these statements is not true about scholarly journal articles. (Mark only one answer.)

- The article must describe original research conducted by the author(s), summarize and synthesize other people’s original research, or offer an in-depth analysis of an issue.
- The article must have a bibliography or a list of references.
- The article must be at least 15 pages long.
- The article must have an author or authors listed, and usually it says where they were employed when the article was written.
Types of Assessments I’ve Tried…

For FYE class, required to assess general education outcomes
• Used rubrics for grading & then turning into assessment standardized scores

Assessed 130 bibliographies of undergraduate capstones
• Created rubric first, 4-point scale
• Presented results at ACRL poster session in 2013
Streamlining Assessment

1. Sample!!!
2. Remember that you’re assessing, not grading. Do you really need a nuanced scale?
3. If you didn’t create the assignment, read over a few before creating/modifying the rubric
   • What can you determine from the artifact that matches your goals? And what do you care about?
4. Do you need IRB approval?
5. To norm or not to norm...

Think/Pair/Share Responses

Methods of Streamlining Assessment to Share

- Google Forms - can feed into a spreadsheet
- Using online learning tools like Mentimeter that automatically saves results
- Consider who needs your results, and what they actually need/will read. Do you really need to write a long and comprehensive report?
- Match your assessment to a learning outcome you’ve already established and for which you are creating in-class artifacts (see Google Forms or LibWizard!)
- Using programs like LibWizard to collect and help analyze results
- Leveraging faculty relationships to help collect assessment data and artifacts
- Use ACRL Project Outcome tools and dashboards ← this. Has its limitations but is great for what it’s meant for
- Leverage other assessment experts on campus - consultations with office for institutional research or assessment or whatever their acronym is, if you don’t have an in-house (library) expert. Find out about tools your CTL may subscribe to that you may not know about.
- Assess artifacts that are already being produced in a session rather than adding on a separate assessment-only item like a post-session quiz or test- build assessment into your session so it’s not burdensome (align through backwards design)- YES!!
Example: Assessing a Composition Literature Review

• Pre-covid, would teach around 15 sections of English 201 per year – using one class as my sample

• Worked several times with same instructor, got her and IRB approval to assess their literature review

• Goals for “library day”
  1. Discussed peer-reviewed vs other common types of sources – scholarly vs highly credible
  2. Discussed the wealth of subject specific databases we have
  3. Refresher on searching the article databases, demo one, spent time on honing results by date, subject & mechanics of finding the full text and generating a citation
  4. Remainder of the time roved the room and helped individuals

• If I get their topics ahead of time, I discuss in more detail what’s a good or good start research question, vs ones that are too broad/too narrow
Literature Review

• Students were required to find a minimum of 6 journal articles to write a 3-page literature review

• Read over a few of them before designing a rubric focusing on:
  • My main goal for the session – were they successful in finding journal articles
  • What I care about – were the articles related enough to each other for their research question?
  • What I can obviously assess – how well they cited their sources

• Used my 3-point scale
## Results - Assessing 3-page Literature Review (N=21)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Great!/Yay!/OMG!</th>
<th>Good/fine/yes, they got it</th>
<th>No, they didn’t get it</th>
<th># of Minutes to Assess</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relevance aka Peer-Reviewed Articles (Searching as Strategic Exploration)</strong></td>
<td>All 6 articles were research-based journal articles = 10</td>
<td>All but 1 were research-based journal articles = 7</td>
<td>Less than 5 were research-based journal articles = 4</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Yes, 81% were able to find research-based journal articles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Only 5 refs (1) 1 magazine art (3) 1 news art in a journal (3)</td>
<td>No works cited (2) Only 3 refs (1) Cited books (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Synthesis (Research as Inquiry)</strong></td>
<td>All 6 articles are definitely related to one another = 12</td>
<td>Most are related, but not all of them = 6</td>
<td>Appear rather random or way too broadly related = 3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>For first draft of their research paper, vast majority had nicely honed topics!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Examples: Unemployment &amp; suicide Mental health of First Responders</td>
<td>Judgement call that the topics weren’t quite as honed as the Great! ones</td>
<td>Really broad topics = death penalty, standardized testing, Paris Climate Agreement.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Citation Style (Information Has Value)</strong></td>
<td>Very good, maybe a few minor errors = 7</td>
<td>Have the main elements, but kinda sloppy OR copy/pasted from database without fixing obvious errors = 7</td>
<td>Missing main elements of a citation = 5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Errors all over the place, so spent time tagging specific errors... Lack hanging indent (11) Not in alphabetical order (7) Missing or inconsistent italicizing journal name (5) Mixing different citation styles, not consistently MLA (4) Missing DOI or URL (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No works cited (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
“Action” for My Composition One-shots?

• Relevance
  • Did very well overall, just need to remind them that not every article in a journal is a research-based article
  • Future assessment… the final essays, where could use non-scholarly sources

• Synthesis
  • Reading over the citations, did very well! Technically don’t really need to even discuss this, since the instructor is successfully teaching this, but students like it when I address their topic.

• Citations
  • Much better understanding how things can go wrong, especially if grabbing from a citation generator
  • In-text ones looked terrible, should just have a discussion with the instructor about expectations for how to do them
Selected Resources & References


• Lohmann, S., Diller, K. R., & Phelps, S. F. (2019). Potholes and pitfalls on the road to authentic assessment. Portal: Libraries and the Academy, 19(3), 429–460. https://doi.org/10.1353/pla.2019.0026 - how campus-wide project became unsustainable, mainly because of issues with norming, and having to backtrack. Also their rubric was a 6-point scale

Questions?
Observations on Your Experiences?